



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,944	08/19/2003	John R. Abe	ABEIP002	6785
28875 7	590 11/17/2006		EXAMINER	
Zilka-Kotab, PC			DIXON, THOMAS A	
P.O. BOX 721120 SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3628	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/644,944	ABE, JOHN R.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Thomas A. Dixon	3628	
7 Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHOR WHICHE - Extensior after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA as of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In the specified above, the maximum statutory period of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•		
2a)∐ Th 3)∐ Sir	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Action is FINAL . 2b) This note this application is in condition for allower esed in accordance with the practice under Estate 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition	of Claims			
4a) 5)⊠ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)⊟ Cla	aim(s) 1,3,4,6-9,11-13,17-40 is/are pending of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawaim(s) 1,3,4,6-9,11-13,17-23 and 32-40 is/are aim(s) 24-31 is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. e allowed.		
Application	Papers			
9) The 10) The Ap	e specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception and request that any objection to the placement drawing sheet(s) including the correct e oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acl a) 4. 1.[2.[3.[knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date 1/1 - C - 3/0 5	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte	

DETAILED ACTION

1. The rejections of claims 1, 19 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 102 and 103 are withdrawn, applicant's arguments are convincing.

A review of the claims necessitated the new 112 rejection for dependency clarification and an updated search revealed Li et al (7,043,449) which discloses price-frequency distribution of prices, see figures 6A-6B, but does not teach storing a number of competitors or business objectives including those claimed or calculating an optimal price based on the claimed elements.

Delurgio et al (6,553,352) discloses optimizing prices, but does not teach storing a distribution of prices or business objectives including those claimed or calculating an optimal price based on the claimed elements.

Phillips et al (2002/0116348) discloses dynamic pricing including sales forecasts for the changes in price, but does not teach storing a number of competitors, distribution of prices or business objectives including those claimed or calculating an optimal price based on the claimed elements.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 24-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,944

Art Unit: 3628

Page 3

Specifically, the claims are to "a method as described in claim 20," but claim 20 is a system claim. Claims 1 and 21, which is dependent upon claim 1 are method claims. It appears that these claims are meant to depend from claims 1 or 21, please amend.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-9,11-13, 17-23, 32-40.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As per Claims 1, 19 and 20.

The prior art of record, specifically, Reuhl et al (5,873,069), Li et al (7,043,449), Delurgio et al (6,553,352), or Phillips et al (2002/0116348) does not disclose or fairly teach:

generating an optimal price, wherein the optimal price is generated by receiving a plurality of prices associated with a price-frequency mathematical distribution, a number of competitors, a business objective and a cost associated with a good or service, and calculating the optimal price based on the prices, the number of competitors, business objective, and cost associated with the good or service; and

reacting by adjusting the price-frequency mathematical distribution based on a difference between the expected result and an actual result.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 3628

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A. Dixon whose telephone number is (571) 272-6803. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 6:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Thomas A. Dixon **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3628

November 06